

United States Patent and Trademark Office

~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,953	09/12/2005	Subagyo	71661 4885	
23872 7590 01/31/2008 MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC P.O. BOX 9227		EXAMINER ZHU, WEIPING		
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1793	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/520,953	SUBAGYO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Weiping Zhu	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2008</u> .						
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office retient of terms in the region						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/2005.	6) Other:	and the manner.				

10/520,953 Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bharati et al. ("Multivariate Image Analysis for Real-Time Process Monitoring and Control" Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, vol. 37, 1998, pages 4715-4724) in view of Stofanak et al. (US 6,197,086 B1).

With respect to claims 1 and 7, Bharati et al. discloses a multivariate image analysis for real-time process monitoring and control comprising (page 4718, right-hand column, paragraph 3 – page 4719, left hand column, paragraph 3.1):

building a multiway principle component analysis (PCA) model on a calibration image which contains all types of features one might be interested in detecting using the on-line monitoring scheme;

analyzing the image to develop image masks in the score area which correspond to each feature in the image space that one desires to monitor;

applying the fixed PCA models to the new on-line images as they are taken; computing the dominant principle components t_a (i.e. score vectors t_1 and t_2 as claimed);

Application/Control Number:

10/520,953 Art Unit: 1793

updating the pixel densities in the score space for the new images; and monitoring the changing pixel densities under each mask area in the score plot to track the appearance and disappearance of each feature in the current image.

The process steps of Bharati et al. read on the claimed process steps in the instant claims 1 and 7.

Bharati et al. does not disclose the claim limitations in the preambles of the instant claims 1 and 7. Stofanak et al. ('086 B1) discloses a method for on-line detecting molten slag in a tap stream between a steel making vessel and corresponding ladle (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the real-time monitoring and control process of Bharati et al. in the application of on-line detecting molten slag as disclosed by Stofanak et al. ('086 B1) with expected success, because Bharati et al. discloses that the multivariate image analysis allows for the rapid monitoring, detection, and isolation of process faults and product quality in industrial processes (page 4715, right-column, 1st paragraph), which would include the process as disclosed by Stofanak et al. ('086 B1). See MPEP 2144.05 I.

With respect to claim 2, Stofanak et al. ('086 B1) discloses that the molten phases include molten steel and slug (abstract).

With respect to claims 3 and 4, Bharati et al. discloses that the image data is collected in multiple spectral bands (page 4715, right-column, 2nd paragraph), which reads on the claim limitation of the instant claim 3 and that the image used consists of 4 wave length bands (page 4717, left-column, paragraph 2.1), which is within the claimed

10/520,953 Art Unit: 1793

number of wavelength ranges. A prima facie case of obviousness exists. See MPEP 2144.05 I.

With respect to claim 5, Bharati et al. discloses a color-coded $t_1 - t_2$ score plot of the satellite multivariate image in Figure 5 (page 4717, right-column), which reads on the claimed features.

With respect to claims 6 and 8, Stofanak et al. ('086 B1) discloses that the characterizing property is steel and/or slug phase identification of the molten phase (abstract).

Conclusion

2. This Office action is made non-final. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/520,953 Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WZ

1/28/2008

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700